

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

ROLAND PRICE,

Plaintiff,

v.

Case No. 15-cv-774-pp

PHILLIP FRIEDRICH,

Defendant.

**ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO APPEAL
WITHOUT PREPAYMENT OF THE FILING FEE (DKT. NO. 85)**

On July 19, 2019, the court issued an order granting summary judgment for the defendant and dismissing the complaint. Dkt. No. 79. The plaintiff filed a notice of appeal on August 5, 2019. Dkt. No. 81. He has filed a motion for leave to proceed with his appeal without prepaying the appellate filing fee. Dkt. No. 85.

Under the Prison Litigation Reform Act, a prisoner must pay the applicable filing fees in full for a civil case. 28 U.S.C. §1915(b). If a prisoner does not have the money to pay the \$505 appellate filing fee in advance, he can request permission from the court to proceed without prepaying. For the court to consider such a request, the prisoner must complete a petition and affidavit and return it to the court, along with a certified copy of his trust account statement showing transactions for the six months preceding the filing of the notice of appeal. 28 U.S.C. §1915(a)(2). The court must assess an initial partial filing fee of twenty percent of the average monthly deposits to the plaintiff's

prison account or average monthly balance in the plaintiff's prison account for the six-month period immediately preceding the filing of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. 28 U.S.C. §1915(b)(1).

After the prisoner pays the initial fee, he must make monthly payments of twenty percent of the preceding month's income until he pays the filing fee in full. 28 U.S.C. §1915(b)(2). The agency that has custody of the prisoner will collect the money and send payments to the court.

There are three grounds for denying a prisoner appellant's request to proceed without prepaying the filing fee: the prisoner has not shown that he is indigent, the prisoner filed the appeal in bad faith, or the prisoner has three strikes. See 28 U.S.C. §§1915(a)(2)-(3), (g). The court finds that the plaintiff has established that he is indigent and that he has not accrued three strikes. That leaves only the question of whether the plaintiff filed this appeal in good faith.

If a court allowed a party to proceed without prepaying the filing fee in the district court, that party may proceed on appeal without prepaying the filing fee without further authorization, unless the district court certifies that the appeal is not taken in good faith or determines that the party is otherwise not entitled to proceed without prepaying the fee. Fed. R. App. P. 24(a); see also Celske v. Edwards, 164 F.3d 396, 398 (7th Cir. 1999) ("[A] plaintiff who . . . was allowed to proceed *in forma pauperis* in the district court retains his IFP status in the court of appeals unless there is a certification of bad faith.").

A district court should not apply an inappropriately high standard when making a good faith determination. Pate v. Stevens, 163 F.3d 437, 439 (7th Cir.

1998). An appeal taken in “good faith” is one that seeks review of any issue that is not frivolous, meaning that it involves “legal points arguable on their merits.” Howard v. King, 707 F.2d 215, 219-20 (5th Cir. 1983) (quoting Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967)); see also Coppedge v. United States, 369 U.S. 438, 445 (1962). On the other hand, an appeal taken in bad faith is one that is based on a frivolous claim, that is, a claim that no reasonable person could suppose has any merit. Lee v. Clinton, 209 F.3d 1025, 1026 (7th Cir. 2000).

There is no indication that the plaintiff is not taking this appeal in good faith. The court will grant his motion to proceed on appeal without prepaying the filing fee.

The plaintiff has filed a certified copy of his prison trust account statement for the six-month period immediately preceding the filing of his notice of appeal. A review of this information reveals that the plaintiff must pay an initial partial filing fee of \$1.71, as well as additional payments under 28 U.S.C. §1915(b)(2). Newlin v. Helman, 123 F.3d 429, 434 (7th Cir. 1997), rev’d on other grounds by Walker v. O’Brien, 216 F.3d 626 (7th Cir. 2000), and Lee, 209 F.3d 1025.

The court **GRANTS** the plaintiff’s motion for leave to proceed on appeal without prepaying the filing fee. Dkt. No. 85.

The court **ORDERS** that by **November 8, 2019**, the plaintiff shall forward to the Clerk of Court the sum of **\$1.71** as the initial partial filing fee for this appeal. If the clerk does not receive that amount by the end of the day on


November 8, 2019, the court of appeals may dismiss the appeal. The plaintiff shall identify the payment by the case name and number.

The court **ORDERS** that after the appellant has paid the initial partial filing fee, the agency that has custody of the prisoner shall collect from his institution trust account the \$503.29 balance of the filing fee by collecting monthly payments from the plaintiff's prison trust account in an amount equal to 20% of the preceding month's income credited to the prisoner's trust account and forwarding payments to the Clerk of Court each time the amount in the account exceeds \$10 in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(2). The agency shall clearly identify the payments by the case name and number. If the plaintiff transfers to another institution—county, state or federal—the transferring institution shall forward a copy of this order, along with the plaintiff's remaining balance, to the receiving institution.

The court will send a copy of this order to New Lisbon Correctional Institution, where the plaintiff is currently housed, and will electronically provide a copy to the PLRA Attorney, United States Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit, through the court's electronic case filing system.

Dated in Milwaukee, Wisconsin this 8th day of October, 2019.

BY THE COURT:

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'P. Pepper', written over a horizontal line.

HON. PAMELA PEPPER
United States District Judge